

Comment on "Hypersharp Resonant Capture of Neutrinos as a Laboratory Probe of the Planck Length"

W. Potzel and F. E. Wagner

*Physik-Department E15, Technische Universität München
D-85748 Garching, Germany*

Abstract

In [1], R.S. Raghavan claims that due to motional averaging by lattice vibrations, 18.6 keV $\bar{\nu}_e$ emitted/captured without recoil from 2-body decay in the $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$ system embedded in Nb metal will be observable with natural width Γ_{nat} . In this comment we argue that 1) stochastic relaxation processes and 2) inhomogeneities in the metal matrices will prevent the generation of antineutrinos with Γ_{nat} in the $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$ system, 3) the different lattice-deformation energies of ^3H and ^3He in the Nb matrix will drastically decrease the fraction of phononless emission/detection of antineutrinos, 4) the age itself of the ^3H source does not affect the linewidth.

1 Stochastic Relaxation Processes

Harmonic lattice vibrational motions do not cause a broadening of the Mössbauer line. Raghavan demonstrates this by using a continuous frequency modulation (FM) model. However, for $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$ embedded in a metal (like Nb) there exist stochastic magnetic relaxation effects which are *not* connected to lattice vibrations. Spin-spin interactions between nuclear spins of ^3H and ^3He and with the spins of the Nb nuclei of the Nb metallic lattice lead to fluctuating magnetic fields. A simple relaxation model consists of the groundstate and two excited hyperfine-split states (separation $\hbar\omega_0$). Stochastic processes are characterized by sudden and irregular transitions between these hyperfine-split states with an *average* rate ω . Stochastic transitions can not be described by a continuous FM model. It has been known for a long time (see., e.g., [2]) that stochastic relaxation processes do increase the linewidth if ω is comparable to ω_0 . For typical magnetic hyperfine splittings due to nuclear spin-spin interactions in metallic lattices, $\omega_0 \approx 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and typical relaxation times for ^3H and ^3He in a metallic Pd lattice are $T \approx 2 \text{ ms}$, and for NbH, $T \approx 79 \mu\text{s}$ [3]. Thus, for the system $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$ in Nb metal,

$\omega_0 \approx \omega = 2\pi/T$ and experimental linewidths of $\Gamma_{exp} = \hbar\omega \approx 50 \times 10^{-12}$ eV $\approx 4 \times 10^{13} \Gamma_{nat}$ have to be expected. As a consequence, the resonance cross-section will be reduced by about 13 orders of magnitude!

2 Inhomogeneities in the metal matrices

Inhomogeneities are caused by lattice defects, variations in the lattice constant, impurities, etc. Such inhomogeneities and, in particular, the highly different concentrations and random distributions of ^3H and ^3He in source and target will cause variations of the binding energies E_B of ^3H and ^3He atoms in Nb metal. With the conventional Mössbauer effect (ME), different E_B values due to inhomogeneities influence the photon energy only through the *difference* of the mean-square nuclear charge radius between the ground-state and the excited state in the *same type* of nucleus in source and target. This causes a shift of the photon energy typical for hyperfine interactions ($\approx 10^{-8}$ eV). In the best single crystals, inhomogeneous broadening is 10^{-13} to 10^{-12} eV [4]. With $\bar{\nu}_e$ emission and capture, however, *nuclear transformations* occur. In the Nb lattice, E_B per atom for ^3H and ^3He is in the eV range [5], many orders of magnitude larger than hyperfine interaction energies. Since, in the nuclear transformations, the $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy is *directly* affected by variations of E_B , one has to expect a variation of the $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy by several orders of magnitude larger than 10^{-12} eV, i.e., larger than $10^{12} \Gamma_{nat}$.

3 Decrease of the fraction of phononless emission and detection

The different lattice-deformation energies E_L for ^3H and ^3He in the Nb lattice [5] have the consequence that E_L changes by ≈ 0.45 eV at the lattice site where the nuclear transformation occurs. If the lattice rearrangement is accompanied by phonon generation, the resonance condition for the ME to occur will be destroyed. An estimate gives a reduction factor of $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ for phononless emission and capture of the $\bar{\nu}_e$ [4]. The argument given in [1] that such lattice excitations are harmless since they occur only with the speed of sound does not hold for the conventional (photon) ME and is also not valid in the case of $\bar{\nu}_e$ interactions.

4 Different physical ages of the source

If the Fourier transform of an exponentially decaying oscillation (decay constant Γ) starting at $t = 0$ is $F(\Omega)$, then the Fourier transform of such a decay, but taking into account only the time from $t = t_0 > 0$ to $t = \infty$, is given by $F(\Omega) \cdot e^{-(\Gamma/2)t_0}$. It has the same width as $F(\Omega)$, only the amplitude is reduced. Thus, an exponential decay has no memory of when it started. The decay of ${}^3\text{H}$ is exponential. In a $\bar{\nu}_e$ Mössbauer experiment, the clock is started together with the measurement, i.e., at the moment when source (${}^3\text{H}$) and detector (${}^3\text{He}$) are arranged in their fixed positions. The measuring time, not the age itself of the ${}^3\text{H}$ source, is important for the linewidth.

5 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funds of the Munich Cluster of Excellence (Origin and Structure of the Universe), the DFG (Transregio 27: Neutrinos and Beyond), and the Maier-Leibnitz-Laboratorium in Garching.

References

- [1] R. S. Raghavan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 091804 (2009), preprint arXiv: hep-ph/0903.0787.
- [2] H. H. Wickman and G. K. Wertheim, in *Chemical Applications of Mössbauer Spectroscopy*, V. I. Goldanskii and R. H. Herber, eds. (New York: Academic Press, 1968), pp. 548, in particular Fig. 11.10.
- [3] M. E. Stoll and T. J. Majors, Phys. Rev. **B24**, 2859 (1981) and references therein.
- [4] W. Potzel, J. Phys. Conference Series **136**, 022010 (2008), and references therein, preprint arXiv: 0810.2170.
- [5] M. J. Puska and R. M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. B **29**, 5382 (1984).